



Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 6646-101NQ

#  
12

4-9-03  
MB

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

**Ronald A. KATZ**

**Serial No.:** 09/648,691

**Filed:** August 25, 2000

**For:** TELEPHONIC-INTERFACE  
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS SYSTEM

)  
) **Group Art Unit:** 2645

)  
) **Examiner:** S. Weaver

)  
) **Office Action mailed:**

)  
) October 23, 2002

**RECEIVED**

APR 08 2003

Technology Center 2600

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated October 23, 2002, Applicant submits a terminal disclaimer to overcome the Examiner's rejection under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. Specifically, in paragraph 2 of the office action, the Examiner rejected claims 29-152 over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,148,065, claims 1-35 of U.S. Patent No. 5,815,551, claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,016,44, claims 1-41 of U.S. Patent No. 4,845,739, and claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 4,792,968. Although the claims here are distinct, Applicant submits a terminal disclaimer in the interest concluding prosecution of claims

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR §1.8**

I hereby certify that this document (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C., 20231.

3/24/03  
Date

Laura Harmon  
Laura Harmon